

Minnesota Association of Resource Conservation and Development Councils Review and Comments on Reforestation Forecast Methodology Version 2-draft.

The revision in Section 2.1 to allow for persons with relevant educational and professional experience similar to those required for professional foresters and ecologists as described in the glossary to sign a Reforestation Project Goals Form is positive.

Section 3.3.3 I hope that the reserve would recognize at this time most Climate Forward reforestation projects on Non-Industrial Private Forestland (NIPF) will not likely happen without Enhancement payments. Future FMU demand and value increases would potentially change this, but that's not the case at this time.

Section 3.8.1 The clarity and flexibility provided in this section using Table 3.1 along with Section 5.2.1 is positive.

Section 6.4 The clarity provided is positive.

The changes incorporated into version 2.0 will help Project Proponents interest and enlist eligible participants to carry-out Climate Forward Reforestation projects. We hope the draft will become the next version.